IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

Trenton Division

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

.

v.

Criminal No. 09-856 (JAP)

JOHN T.C. YEH,

JOSEPH YEH,

ANTHONY MOWL,
DONALD TROPP and

VIABLE COMMUNICATIONS, INC., :

:

Defendants. :

Joint Motion for an Extension of Time

The Government, with the agreement of all parties, moves the Court to find that the "ends of justice served by" a 90-day extension of the timeline set forth in the Court's Order for Inspection and Discovery "outweigh[s] the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial." *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). In support of this motion, the parties note:

- 1. The number of defendants in this and related cases makes it "unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or for the trial itself within the time limits established" by the Speedy Trial Act. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
- 2. There is a substantial amount of discovery available to the defendants that may "deny counsel for the defendant[s] . . . the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation." See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
- Some of the defendants in this case are individuals who are both deaf and/or hard of hearing and physically separated from their counsel, which complicates the defendants' preparation for trial.

4. An extension of time will allow the parties to better explore pre-trial resolutions to the charges pending against the defendants, especially since coordinating such discussions is made more time consuming because some of the defendants are deaf and/or hard of hearing.

PAUL PELLETIER ACTING CHIEF, FRAUD SECTION

By: _____/s/____

Brigham Cannon
Trial Attorney, Fraud Section
Criminal Division
United States Department of Justice

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

Trenton Division

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

.

v.

Criminal No. 09-856 (JAP)

JOHN T.C. YEH,

JOSEPH YEH,

ANTHONY MOWL,
DONALD TROPP and

VIABLE COMMUNICATIONS, INC., :

:

Defendants. :

ORDER

Because the ends of justice served by an extension of time outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the typical time requirements of the Speedy Trial Act of 1974, it is ORDERED that the timeline set forth in the Order for Discovery and Inspection be extended 90 days.

In support of this Order, the Court makes the following findings:

- 1. The number of defendants in this and related cases makes it "unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or for the trial itself within the time limits established" by the Speedy Trial Act. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
- 2. There is a substantial amount of discovery available to the defendants that may "deny counsel for the defendant[s] . . . the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation."

 See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

Case 3:09-cr-00856-JAP Document 21 Filed 01/05/10 Page 4 of 5

3. Some of the defendants in this case are individuals who are both deaf and/or hard of

hearing and physically separated from their counsel, which complicates the defendants'

preparation for trial.

An extension of time will allow the parties to better explore pre-trial resolutions to the

charges pending against the defendants, especially since coordinating such discussions is

made more time consuming because some of the defendants are deaf and/or hard of

hearing.

4.

/s/ Joel A. Pisano

JOEL A. PISANO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on January 5, 2010, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will then send a notification of such filing (NEF) to the following:

Paul Kemp <u>pfkemp@venable.com</u> Attorney for John T.C. Yeh

Stanley Reed
sireed@lerchearly.com
Attorney for Joseph Yeh

Allen Orenberg

<u>aorenberg@orenberglaw.com</u> *Attorney for Anthony Mowl*

Christopher Hostage
tydatty@aol.com
Attorney for Donald Tropp

Timothy Sullivan

<u>tsullivan@bsm-legal.com</u>

Attorney for Viable Communications, Inc.